### More than a Pretty Picture: Visual Thinking in Network Studies

James Moody Duke University

This work is supported by NIH grants DA12831 and HD41877. Thanks to Dan McFarland, Skye Bender-deMoll, Martina Morris, Lisa Keister, Scott Gest and Peter Mucha for discussion and comments related to this presentation. Please send any correspondence to James Moody, Department of Sociology, Duke University, Durham NC 27705. email: <u>imoody77@soc.duke.edu</u>

### Introduction

We live in a connected world:

"To speak of social life is to speak of the association between people – their associating in work and in play, in love and in war, to trade or to worship, to help or to hinder. It is in the social relations men establish that their interests find expression and their desires become realized."

Peter M. Blau Exchange and Power in Social Life, 1964







### Introduction A Little History.... Why visualize networks at all? What makes a good (*scientific?*) visualization Two challenges to help us think Dynamics of Diffusion Political Polarization: Dense & Dynamic Developing a visual network language (nouns: easy, rhetoric: moderate, verbs: hard) Conclusion









![](_page_5_Figure_1.jpeg)

### A Little network Visualization History Promising Foundations

The study of networks has depended on a visual thinking since the beginning:

![](_page_5_Figure_4.jpeg)

..but Moreno's sociograms from *Who Shall Survive* (1934) were something special. They ignited a wave of research that was to fundamentally transform social science.

![](_page_6_Figure_1.jpeg)

\*He claims it was covered in "all the major papers" but I can't find any other clips.

![](_page_6_Figure_3.jpeg)

7

![](_page_7_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_7_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Figure_2.jpeg)

10

![](_page_10_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Figure_1.jpeg)

### A Little network Visualization History From Science to Silly...

- Lest we think only journalist do things with marginal scientific / information value....many (most?) published network images are information thin.
- Such images often provide extremely little information; and the information one can derive from the image is often wrongly-weighted.
- Our challenge today is two-fold: a) What is it about visual
- representations that seem so important to network studies?
- b) How do we do it well?

Science, Art or Craft?

![](_page_14_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_1.jpeg)

Communication Challenges in Network Visualization Types of problems

So what distinguishes a scientifically effective visualization?

•Basic quantitative visualization craft: ("style" or "rhetoric")

•Simple principles of graphic design as per Tufte:

-Clarity, scaling, layering of information, avoiding "chartjunk", effective use of color, and so forth.

- Challenge here mirrors cartography: how much information can one effectively *layer* into a network diagram?

*This is hard to do well*, and even harder to automate, and thus requires an active craft hand in graphic production.

![](_page_17_Figure_1.jpeg)

Communication Challenges in Network Visualization Types of problems

So what distinguishes a scientifically effective visualization?

•Network Specific graphic problem: Which social space?

•Example: Networks to represent social space, but:

•Simple space is rarely accurate, so how best to distort?

•How many dimensions are relevant?

•Do the dimensions mean anything?

![](_page_18_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Figure_2.jpeg)

### What makes a good visualization?

The simplest answer is that an image should *clearly* communicate something that we would have difficulty knowing any other way:

This includes:

Information & Communication:

- Helping build intuition about the social process generating the network
- Succinctly capturing high-dimensional properties of the network
- Being worth the space- the information content of the image needs to reward deeper inspection
- Beauty powerful graphs are mesmerizing

### What makes a good visualization?

The simplest answer is that an image should *clearly* communicate something that we would have difficulty knowing any other way:

This includes:

Science:

- Ability to replicate results same data should produce same picture
- Quantification most features evident from a graph should be translatable to a measurement of the graph (though this may not be initially evident)
- *Theory-relevant:* either gives us something new to theorize about or is useful as a theory-building device itself.

This probably implies a move toward problem-specific families of visualizations.

### Why Visualize Network at all? Insights from scatter plots

While the history is deeply rooted in visual analysis, why bother? If we have good metrics, why not use them instead?

Х	y1	y2	y3
4	4.26	3.1	5.39
5	5.68	4.74	5.73
6	7.24	6.13	6.08
7	4.82	7.26	6.42
8	6.95	8.14	6.77
9	8.81	8.77	7.11
10	8.04	9.14	7.46
11	8.33	9.26	7.81
12	10.84	9.13	8.15
13	7.58	8.74	12.74
14	9.96	8.1	8.84

These 3 series seem very similar, when viewed statistically:

N=11 Mean of Y = 7.5 Regression Equation: Y = 3 + .5(X) SE of slope estimate: 0.118, T=4.24 Sum of Squares (X- $\overline{X}$ ): 110 Regression SS: 27.5 Correlation Coeff: 0.82

### Why Visualize Network at all? Insights from scatter plots

While the history is deeply rooted in visual analysis, why bother? If we have good metrics, why not use them instead?

![](_page_21_Figure_8.jpeg)

And given these statistics, we expect a relation something like this – a strong correlation with "random" error.

![](_page_22_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Figure_2.jpeg)

23

![](_page_23_Figure_1.jpeg)

### Why Visualize Network at all? Insights from scatter plots

But consider changing a key assumption of the scatter-plot: the scaled ordering of the axes.

![](_page_23_Figure_4.jpeg)

012 12 26 30 41 56 61 713 87 54 60 116 120 134 54 65

Technically, all the information is retained - one could reconstruct the data pairs from both images – but the re-ordered panel provides no *additional* information.

![](_page_24_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Figure_2.jpeg)

### Why Visualize Network at all? Problem summary

Benefits to network graphics

- Make visible the invisible

  - $\rightarrow\,$  give a sense of reality to unobserved features  $\rightarrow\,$  Can be truly beautiful (when well done), capturing audience imaginations
  - → Can communicate complex features quickly
- provide multi-dimensional insights into setting processes
  - → Metrics capture a single dimension
  - We care about the shape of related entities
  - $\rightarrow$  Help us contextualize and make sense of the metrics we calculate
- Help us think by organizing, arranging and abstracting generals from particulars
  - → Networks are *already* massive abstractions removing qualitative microdetail to capture interconnectivity
  - $\rightarrow$  ...but we often need to abstract further to identify elements we care about.

### Why Visualize Network at all? Problem summary

### **Challenges:**

- No consistent or obvious display frame
  - $\rightarrow$  Arrangement guided by heuristic principles, which may not be consistent with each other
  - $\rightarrow$  an inability to judge the "fit" of an image to the data and small hopes of replication
- Too much information •
  - $\rightarrow~$  We have multiple levels (node, edge, community, network) and multiple characteristics at each level  $\rightarrow$  necessitates "denying the data" in favor of insights, building the theory into the image
- Scale:
  - $\rightarrow$  "Magnitude" Size of the network makes standard approaches slow, and likely not useful even when fast
  - $\rightarrow$  "Temporal Scale" how to bind discrete occurrences to generate a structure from particulars

![](_page_26_Picture_1.jpeg)

### What makes a good visualization?

Unlike a scatter-plot, the default layout styles of most off-the-shelf programs will lead to very different layouts:

![](_page_26_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Figure_1.jpeg)

Simplify the problem: Identify strategies appropriate for problems

1. What are the best ways to visualize network diffusion?

1) Find the problem: network diffusion covers at least 4 key events:

- Global: Spread over the population
- Local: Distinguishing who passes among those who could pass.
- Dynamics 1: Population saturation time to spread
- Dynamics 2: Dynamic network substrate changing networks

### 2) Seek Clarity

- the more text needed to explain a figure, the less useful they are.
- For diffusion, this often means privileging the display of transmission over non-transmission.

![](_page_33_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Figure_2.jpeg)

39

![](_page_39_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Figure_1.jpeg)

### Temporal projections of "net-time"

*Time-Space graph representations* "Stack" a dynamic network in time, compiling all "node-time" and "edgetime" events (similar to an event-history compilation of individual level data).

Consider an example:

- a) Repeat contemporary ties at each time observation, linked by relational edges as they happen.
- b) Between time slices, link nodes to later selves *"identity"* edges

![](_page_41_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Picture_1.jpeg)

## <text><text><text><text>

# <text><text><text><text>

### Problem II: Political Polarization

Consider again our senate voting network:

![](_page_43_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Figure_1.jpeg)

The simplicity of Moreno's sociograms was balanced against a determined consistency in presentation style that allowed one to compare across settings.

The aim of solid scientific visualization should be to (re-) develop such conventions.

![](_page_48_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Figure_5.jpeg)

### Conclusions: Developing a visual language of networks "Nouns" are the easy --5.2 The Generalized Blockmodeling Approach identifying symbols to represent known node/edge Table 5.2.4. Block Types types should be easy to do. null all 0° nul complete all 1° com Shape, color, size-formagnitude and usually be regular 1-covered rows and columns reg made pretty intuitive. each row is 1-covered row-regular пе The tradeoff is clutter; use each column is 1-covered column-regular cre shapes, in particularly, very row-dominant 3 all 1 row\* rdo sparingly. ∃ all 1 column\* column-dominant cdo row-functional rfn ∃! one 1 in each row 3! one 1 in each column column-functional cfn ∃ at least one 1 non-null one \* Except for diagonal blocks, which may differ slightly.

### Conclusions: Developing a visual language of networks

"Style" is always evident; we can (almost) as easily recognize the signature of a particular approach as one can the voice of an author.

This is both a characteristic of authors and the programs the use; but is typically done without reflection.

A review of elements suggests some simple features to examine for style.

For my eye, for example, most graphs use lines that are too thick and colors that are too harsh.

![](_page_49_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_50_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_50_Picture_2.jpeg)

The real challenge is to identify the *active grammar* underlying a language of networks. We're looking for implied rules that link visual representations to social characteristics. For example: proximity-as-social-distance.

The key value of a grammar, is that dictionaries (or "pattern books") collapse under the weight of observed diversity, while grammars admit many new utterances.

The current body of graph-heuristics are a place to start for this grammar -- they provide aesthetic "parts of speech" – but not style.

![](_page_50_Picture_6.jpeg)

### Conclusions

We are not there yet, nor are we usually "at our best" – thoughtless application of canned package visualizations often create goopy messes that convey little substantive information.

The solution is thoughtful application of a well-honed graphical style – the classic elements laid out by Tufte over 20 years ago for statistical visualization –tailored to the theoretical challenges of networks.

### Conclusions

Our approach has been to develop visualization methods that:

- focus on particular network process the "same" layout is not appropriate for all network process
- clearly contextualize remove information that is not needed to tell the story, but place the key point within the social process
- Layer multiple information elements node, edge, spatial arrangement and movement are all opportunities for conveying information.

![](_page_52_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Picture_2.jpeg)

53